ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Open Access

Comparison of the efficacy of BCG intravesical immunotherapy using the conventional Rotisserie method with the non-Rotisserie method



Mohammad Kazem Hariri^{1*}, Mohammad-Bagher Rajabalian^{2,3,6*}, Behzad Narouie⁴, Saeed rohollahpour⁵, Ghasem Rostami³ and Mohadese Ahmadzade⁶

Abstract

Background Intravesical BCG injections are administered following transurethral resection of the superficial bladder tumor in order to increase the success rate and decrease the risk of tumor recurrence. BCG therapy has been examined extensively in terms of dosage and injection time intervals to determine its effectiveness. However, no study has yet been conducted to compare the two qualitatively different methods of administering BCG (Rotisserie and non-Rotisserie).

Methods This study included 30 patients with non-muscle-invasive bladder TCC, whose tumor stage was Ta or T1. Two groups of 15 patients were randomly selected. The first intravesical injection of BCG was administered 2 weeks following transurethral resection of the bladder tumor and then continued as the maintenance treatment. But, in one group, the injection was done by Rotisserie method, and in the other group, non-Rotisserie method was performed. Then, the patients underwent periodic follow-up by cystoscopy to determine if recurrences had occurred.

Results A total of 23 participants (76.66%) were males, while seven patients (23.34%) were females. There were 20 participants (66.66%) with tumors in the Ta stage and ten participants (33.34%) with tumors in the T1 stage. There were 22 patients (73.3%) with low-grade tumors and eight (26.7%) with high-grade tumors. Five participants (16.66%) experienced tumor recurrence during the study, three of whom were in the Rotisserie group and two in the Non-Rotisserie group. In terms of preventing tumor recurrence, there were no significant differences between Rotisserie and non-Rotisserie methods (*P* value = 0.6).

Conclusion Due to the lack of significant difference in the rate of tumor recurrence between the two methods of intravesical BCG injection (Rotisserie and non-Rotisserie), it is not necessary to rotate the patients after BCG injection. This will also allow patients to be discharged from the hospital earlier and reduce the likelihood of complications.

Keywords Bladder cancer, BCG, Immunotherapy, Rotisserie, Non-Rotisserie

*Correspondence: Mohammad Kazem Hariri Mohammad-Bagher Rajabalian m_rajabalian61@yahoo.com Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

1 Background

Globally, bladder cancer affects approximately 430,000 people each year, which this making it the most common malignancy of the urinary system [1, 2]. It has a wide range of severity, from slow-progressing low-grade Ta tumors to malignant high-grade tumors, with a mortality rate exceeding 145,000 per year [2, 3]. About 70% of bladder cancer cases are caused by superficial TCC, 70% of which are Ta types, 20% are T1 types, and 10% are carcinomas *in situ*. The survival rate for muscle-invasive bladder cancer has remained relatively constant in the past 18 years, and with treatment, the 5-year survival rate has been 50% [4].

This survival rate for metastatic bladder cancer is only 15% [5, 6]. Studies have proven that smoking and occupational exposure to urothelial carcinogens are the two most prominent risk factors for bladder cancer [3]. It has also been demonstrated that bladder cancer is immunogenic, as the PD-1 transmembrane protein expressed on the surface of cytotoxic T cells bound to the PDL-1 receptor present on the surface of cancer cells, and this leads to a decrease in the host's immunity against cancer. In this way, using PD-1/PDL-1 inhibitors can increase the body's immune response to tumor cells [7, 8]. The immunotherapy method has revolutionized the treatment of many cancers, including melanoma and kidney cancer [8, 9]. The attenuated strain of *Mycobacterium bovis* or Bacillus Calmette-Guérin (BCG) was used by Zbar et al. to induce antitumor effects and by Morales et al. for the intravesical treatment of non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer [10, 11]. Currently, BCG is the most effective immunotherapy method available for the treatment of non-muscle-invasive bladder TCC (Ta, T1, and carcinoma in situ). It provides greater therapeutic benefits than intravesical chemotherapy [12, 13]. The mechanism by which BCG works is not well understood. The strong increase in urinary cytokines following the intravesical injection suggests that BCG inhibits tumor growth by triggering the immune system [14, 15]. It is also stated in other studies that this treatment method is more successful in the prevention of tumor recurrence, than intravesical chemotherapy regimens. It also reduces the possibility of tumor progression, which this effect is not seen in intravesical chemotherapy [16]. Despite its therapeutic benefits, this method can result in some adverse effects, including local irritation, fever, general weakness, and sepsis. Consequently, it is prohibited to perform in patients with gross hematuria and severe urinary tract inflammation [17]. Depending on the urologist's preference, intravesical injection of BCG is performed in one of these two ways; in the conventional method, known as Rotisserie, after intravesical injection of BCG, the patient lies on his back, and then in 15-min intervals, he changes his position to lying on his sides and stomach [18]. In another way, we call it non-Rotisserie, patient is discharged in a short period of time after the injection, without being placed in different positions.

Even though most urologists recommend turning the patient in different directions following BCG injection to ensure that the entire surface of the urothelium is in contact with BCG, there is no scientific support for acting according to this method [19, 20].

Shah et al. stated that since the bladder due to its flexible nature changes its size according to the volume of liquid that enters it, the Rotisserie method has no scientific basis and except for cases where a large air bubble has entered the bladder due to wrong injection, it is not necessary to rotate the patient serially [21].

This study aims to compare the effectiveness of intravesical BCG injection using the conventional Rotisserie method with the non-Rotisserie method. The results can, therefore, assist in determining the most effective method for intravesical BCG injections.

2 Methods

This longitudinal-descriptive study was conducted from March 2019 to February 2020 on patients with bladder cancer who were referred to the urology clinic of Valiasr Hospital, Qaemshahr, Iran. Upon confirmation of the diagnosis with cystoscopy, all patients underwent transurethral resection of the bladder tumor by a predetermined urologist. Ultimately, 30 patients with non-muscle-invasive bladder TCC were included in the study. There were three pathological features: high-grade Ta, low-grade T1, and high-grade T1. Intravesical BCG injections were administered 2 weeks after TURBT, initially as an induction treatment and then as a maintenance treatment.

The induction regimen consisted of 6 weekly injections, and the maintenance regimen was based on SWOG (southwest oncology group) method. Accordingly, three doses of BCG were prescribed weekly in months 3-6-12-18-24-30-36. One vial of 120 mg of BCG (the strain of Iran Pasteur Institute) is suspended in 50 mL of normal saline and then injected into the bladder via the urethral catheter. The agent should be retained in the bladder for at least 2 hours and then voided [19]. BCG injections were performed using two different methods (Rotisserie vs non-Rotisserie). The Rotisserie method involves placing the patient in a supine position after an intravesical injection and then changing the position at 15-min intervals to the left flank up, the right flank up, and prone. In the non-Rotisserie method, the patient is discharged shortly after injection without being placed in different positions [18]. Two groups of 15 patients were randomly selected. Participants were randomly assigned

to either the Rotisserie or non-Rotisserie group using a random number table. BCG injection was performed using the Rotisserie method in the first group and the non-Rotisserie method in the second group. Demographic data, including age, gender, tumor histopathology, cystoscopy data, and the number of BCG injections, were collected and compiled. A follow-up cystoscopy was performed every 3 months for 1 year. This study included patients with non-muscle-invasive bladder tumors who had no contraindications to receive intravesical injections. Exclusion criteria were immunosuppression, a history of BCG sepsis, gross hematuria or traumatic catheterization, and active urinary tract infections. Data collected were analyzed using SPSS software version 26. Quantitative results were described using central and dispersion statistics. Quantitative data were also described as absolute and relative frequencies. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects before the study. The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of Sari University of Medical Sciences (IRB Number: IR.IAU.SARI.REC.1399.035).

3 Results

The study involved 30 patients, of which 23 were men (76.66%) and 7 were women (23.34%). A total of six individuals (20%) were less than 45 years of age, eight individuals (26.7%) were between 45 and 60 years of age, and 16 individuals (53.3 percent) were over 60 years of age. Twenty participants had a tumor in stage Ta (66.66%) and ten participants had a tumor in stage T1 (33.34%). Eight patients (26.7%) had high-grade tumors, and 22 patients (73.3%) had low-grade tumors. Among the participants, 16 (53.3%) had only one tumor, while 14 (46.7%) had two or more tumors. Five patients (16.66%) experienced tumor recurrence during the study, out of which three were in the Rotisserie group and two were in the non-Rotisserie group. In terms of preventing tumor recurrence, there were no significant differences between Rotisserie and non-Rotisserie methods (P value=0.6) (Table 1).

4 Discussion

Bladder cancer is the eighth leading cause of death due to cancer and represents 3% of all cancers [22–24]. There is an increase in the incidence of this cancer in Iran [22]. In order to maximize the success rate of the transurethral resection of superficial bladder tumor, various agents are usually injected intravesically to reduce the possibility of recurrence. Currently, intravesical BCG therapy is the most effective immunotherapy method available for the treatment of non-muscle-invasive bladder tumors (Ta, T1, and carcinoma *in situ*). It provides greater therapeutic benefits than intravesical chemotherapy since it

Table 1 Inter-pattern analyze of tumor recurrence

	N (%)	P value
Gender		
Male	23 (76.6)	0.19
Female	7 (23.3)	
Age (years)		
<45	6 (20)	2.1
45–60	8 (26.7)	
>60	16 (53.3)	
Injection method		
Rotisserie group	3 (20)	0.6
Non-Rotisserie group	2 (13.3)	
Tumor grade		
Low grade	22 (73.3)	0.09
High grade	8 (26.7)	
Tumor stage		
Та	20 (66.6)	0.02
T1	10 (33.3)	

reduces the likelihood of both tumor recurrence and tumor progression [12, 13].

Several studies have been conducted to assess the effectiveness of different approaches to intravesical BCG therapy in terms of dosage and sequence of injections; our study is the first to compare the two qualitatively different BCG injection methods (Rotisserie and non-Rotisserie). The majority of our patients (76.66%) were males, which was consistent with global bladder cancer statistics. In the study by Racioppi et al. [25], 82.5% of the participants were men. Patients in our study ranged in age from 24 to 84 years, with a mean age of 57 years. According to Ghazi Moghadam et al.'s study, the patients' ages ranged between 37 and 84 years, with a mean age of 61 years [26]. It has also been reported that the highest prevalence of bladder tumors occurred in the seventh and eighth decades with an average age of 66 years old [27]. A total of five patients had tumor recurrence during the course of this study, of whom three were in the Rotisserie group, but no significant difference was observed between the Rotisserie and non-Rotisserie groups in terms of tumor recurrence (P value = 0.666). There has been no similar study conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of the two methods discussed above. Approximately two-thirds of the patients in our study had tumors in the Ta stage, and the remainder had tumors in the T1 stage. As in our study, Ghazi Moghadam et al. [24] reported that approximately 56% of their patients had Ta tumors. In our research, the most cases of recurrence were related to T1 stage and statistical analysis, showed a significant relationship between T1 stage and tumor recurrence (P value=0.020). As well, Tadayon et al.

[28] reported a significant relationship between bladder tumor T stage and tumor recurrence, so that most cases of recurrence were from T1 stage (P value = 0.006). In our study, no statistically significant difference was observed between tumor grade and tumor recurrence. Also, the studies conducted by Tadayon et al. and Racioppi et al. [25, 28] did not show a significant correlation between tumor grade and tumor recurrence.

This study has the advantage of being the first to assess and compare two methods of injecting BCG. In order to provide a better understanding of the subject, however, studies with larger sample sizes and longer followup periods are recommended in order to obtain a better understanding of the topic. In addition, to gain a comprehensive understanding of the issue, it is crucial that research is conducted on a variety of age groups and populations.

5 Conclusion

According to our results, the Rotisserie method does not significantly differ from non-Rotisserie methods in terms of tumor recurrence. Therefore, it is not necessary to turn the patient in different directions after the BCG injection. The patient can be discharged immediately after injection. It may result in fewer hospital complications for the patients, however, given the limited number of studies conducted in this field and the limited number of participants in this study, further research is required in the future to obtain more accurate results.

Abbreviations

BCG Bacillus Calmette–Guérin

TCC Transitional cell carcinoma

Acknowledgements

None

Author contributions

MKH and MBR worked in project development, supervision, and review and editing manuscript. BN worked in supervision, review and editing manuscript, data collection, and management. SR contributed to writing and editing original draft, data collection, and management. GR contributed to writing and editing original draft, analysis and interpretation of data, data collection, and management. MA contributed to writing and editing original draft, analysis and interpretation of data, data collection, and management.

Funding

None.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this article. Further enquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All procedures involving human participants in the study were approved by the Ethics Committee of the SARI University of Medical Sciences with the

number IR.IAU.SARI.REC.1399.035. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects before the study.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Author details

¹Department of Urology, Islamic Azad University of Medical Sciences, Sari Branch, Sari, Iran. ²Clinical Research Development Center, Shahid Beheshti Hospital, Babol University of Medical Sciences, Babol, Iran. ³Department of Urology, Babol University of Medical Sciences, Babol, Iran. ⁴Department of Urology, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, Iran. ⁵Islamic Azad University of Medical Sciences, Sari Branch, Sari, Iran. ⁶Urology and Nephrology Research Center, Shahid Labbafinejad Medical Center, Department of Urology, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

Received: 10 February 2023 Accepted: 28 August 2023 Published online: 12 October 2023

References

- 1. Parkin D, Whelan S, Ferlay J, Teppo L, Thomas D (2002) Cancer incidence in five continents Volume VIII. IARC scientific publication. (155)
- Antoni S, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Znaor A, Jemal A, Bray F (2017) Bladder cancer incidence and mortality: a global overview and recent trends. Eur Urol 71(1):96–108
- Lobo N, Afferi L, Moschini M, Mostafid H, Porten S, Psutka SP et al (2022) Epidemiology, screening, and prevention of bladder cancer. Eur Urol Oncol 5(6):628–639. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euo.2022.10.003
- Kirkali Z, Chan T, Manoharan M, Algaba F, Busch C, Cheng L et al (2005) Bladder cancer: epidemiology, staging and grading, and diagnosis. Urology 66(6 Suppl 1):4–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2005.07.062
- von der Maase H, Hansen S, Roberts J, Dogliotti L, Oliver T, Moore M et al (2000) Gemcitabine and cisplatin versus methotrexate, vinblastine, doxorubicin, and cisplatin in advanced or metastatic bladder cancer: results of a large, randomized, multinational, multicenter, phase III study. J Clin Oncol 18(17):3068–3077
- International Phase III Trial Assessing Neoadjuvant Cisplatin, Methotrexate, and Vinblastine Chemotherapy for Muscle-Invasive Bladder Cancer: Long-Term Results of the BA06 30894 Trial (2011) J Clin Oncol. 29(16):2171–21777. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2010.32.3139
- Postow MA, Callahan MK, Wolchok JD (2015) Immune checkpoint blockade in cancer therapy. J Clin Oncol 33(17):1974
- Lawrence MS, Stojanov P, Polak P, Kryukov GV, Cibulskis K, Sivachenko A et al (2013) Mutational heterogeneity in cancer and the search for new cancer-associated genes. Nature 499(7457):214–218. https://doi.org/10. 1038/nature12213
- Sharma P, Callahan MK, Bono P, Kim J, Spiliopoulou P, Calvo E et al (2016) Nivolumab monotherapy in recurrent metastatic urothelial carcinoma (CheckMate 032): a multicentre, open-label, two-stage, multi-arm, phase 1/2 trial. Lancet Oncol 17(11):1590–1598
- Zbar B, Rapp HJ (1974) Immunotherapy of guinea pig cancer with BCG. Cancer 34(S8):1532–1540
- Morales A (1980) Treatment of carcinoma in situ of the bladder with BCG. Cancer Immunol Immunother 9(1):69–72
- Kurth K, Bouffioux C, Sylvester R, Van der Meijden A, Oosterlinck W, Brausi M (2000) Treatment of superficial bladder tumors: achievements and needs. Eur Urol 37(Suppl. 3):1–9
- Kawai K (1997) Clinical and basic research on intravesical BCG treatment. Biotherapy-Tokyo 11:159–166
- 14. Mitropoulos DN (2005) Novel insights into the mechanism of action of intravesical immunomodulators. In Vivo 19(3):611–621
- Elsässer-Beile U, Leiber C, Wetterauer U, Bühler P, Wolf P, Lucht M et al (2005) Adjuvant intravesical treatment with a standardized mistletoe extract to prevent recurrence of superficial urinary bladder cancer. Anticancer Res 25(6C):4733–4736

- Hall MC, Chang SS, Dalbagni G, Pruthi RS, Seigne JD, Skinner EC et al (2007) Guideline for the management of nonmuscle invasive bladder cancer (stages Ta, T1, and Tis): 2007 update. J Urol 178(6):2314–2330
- van der Meijden AP, Sylvester RJ, Oosterlinck W, Hoeltl W, Bono AV (2003) Maintenance bacillus calmette-guerin for Ta T1 bladder tumors is not associated with increased toxicity: results from a European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Genito-Urinary Group Phase III Trial. Eur Urol 44(4):429–434
- Babjuk M, Burger M, Capoun O, Cohen D, Compérat EM, Dominguez Escrig JL et al (2022) European Association of Urology Guidelines on Nonmuscle-invasive Bladder Cancer (Ta, T1, and Carcinoma in Situ). Eur Urol 81(1):75–94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2021.08.010
- 19. Partin AW, Wein AJ, Kavoussi LR, Peters CA, Dmochowski RR (2020) Campbell Walsh Wein Urology, E-Book. Elsevier Health Sciences
- Intravesical Administration of Therapeutic Medication. https://www. auanet.org/guidelines/intravesical-administration-of-therapeutic-medic ation (2018)
- 21. Shah JB, Kamat AM (2013) Strategies for optimizing bacillus Calmette-Guerin. Urol Clin 40(2):211–218
- Esmail Nasab N, Moradi G, Zareie M, Ghaderi E, Gheytasi B (2007) Survey of epidemilogic status and incidence rates of cancers in the patients above 15 years old in Kurdistan province. Sci J Kurdistan Univ Med Sci 11(4):18–25
- Soufi MH, Rahimi E, Malekpour M (2001) The incidence rate of bladder cancer and demographic characteristics of the patients in kurdistan province from year 1994 to 1999
- 24. Whelan P (2008) Survival from bladder cancer in England and Wales up to 2001. Br J Cancer 99(1):S90–S92
- Racioppi M, Di Gianfrancesco L, Ragonese M, Palermo G, Sacco E, Bassi P (2018) The challenges of Bacillus of Calmette-Guerin (BCG) therapy for high risk non muscle invasive bladder cancer treatment in older patients. J Geriatr Oncol 9(5):507–512
- Ghazimoghadam B, Jabalameli P (1999) The comparative study of the effectiveness of BCG and Alfa-Interferon in preventing the recurrence of bladder tumor. J Gorgan Univ Med Sci 1(2):43–48
- 27. AA Y, MM E (2006) A comparison between intravesical chemotherapy and immunotherapy on superficial carcinoma of the bladder
- Tadayon F, Mazdak H, Sarrafian M, Hadi M, Mirhashemi SM, Khorrami MH (2011) The effect of adding an initial dose of mitomycin c into the vesicular delayed six-week treatment in patients with superficial bladder cancer. J Isfahan Med Sch 28(121):1648

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com